

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 00-6311-Cr-HUCK


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CLARENCE LARK, et al.

Defendant.



FORM OF VERDICT

We the jury in the above entitled case unanimously return  
the following verdicts as to the following defendants:

COUNT 1- 18 U.S.C. 1962 (d) (Rico Conspiracy)

1. CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

✓ NOT GUILTY

2. LARRY CRENSHAW

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

✓ NOT GUILTY

201  
10/2/01  
2/12

COUNT 2 - 21 U.S.C. 963 (Narcotics Importation Conspiracy)

1. CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

\_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant Clarence Lark not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Clarence Lark guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt.

We the jury having found the defendant Clarence Lark guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the indictment make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt:

a. Did the defendant Clarence Lark's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

b. Did the defendant Clarence Lark's offense involve 1000 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana.

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

2. CURTIS NEWTON

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

\_\_\_\_\_ ☒ NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant Curtis Newton not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Curtis Newton guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt.

We the jury having found the defendant Curtis Newton guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the indictment make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt:

a. Did the defendant Curtis Newton's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

b. Did the defendant Curtis Newton's offense involve 1000 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana.

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

3. LAWRENCE SEYMORE

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

\_\_\_\_\_ ☒ NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant Lawrence Seymore not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Lawrence Seymore guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt.

We the jury having found the defendant Lawrence Seymore guilty of the crime charged in Count 2 of the indictment make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt:

a. Did the defendant Lawrence Seymore's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

b. Did the defendant Lawrence Seymore's offense involve 1000 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana.

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

COUNT 3 - 21 U.S.C. 846 (Narcotics Distribution conspiracy)

1. CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

\_\_\_\_\_ ☒ NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant Clarence Lark not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Clarence Lark guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt.

We the jury having found the defendant Clarence Lark guilty of the crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt:

a. Did the defendant Clarence Lark's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

b. Did the defendant Clarence Lark's offense involve 1000 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana.

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

2. CURTIS NEWTON

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

\_\_\_\_\_ ☒ NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant Curtis Newton not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Curtis Newton guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt.

We the jury having found the defendant Curtis Newton guilty of the crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt:

a. Did the defendant Curtis Newton's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?

\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

b. Did the defendant Curtis Newton's offense involve 1000

kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana.

\_\_\_\_\_ YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO

3. LAWRENCE SEYMORE

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY  \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant Lawrence Seymore not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Lawrence Seymore guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt.

We the jury having found the defendant Lawrence Seymore guilty of the crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment make the following special findings beyond a reasonable doubt:

a. Did the defendant Lawrence Seymore's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?

\_\_\_\_\_ YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO

b. Did the defendant Lawrence Seymore's offense involve 1000 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana.


\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

4. RICARDO MCHORNE

If you find the defendant Ricardo McHorne not guilty you should go on to the next defendant. If you find the defendant Ricardo McHorne guilty of the crime charged, then you should make the following special finding beyond a reasonable doubt.

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

\_\_\_\_\_  NOT GUILTY

We the jury having found the defendant Ricardo McHorne guilty of the crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment make the following special finding beyond a reasonable doubt;

Did the defendant Ricardo McHorne's offense involve 5 kilograms or more of mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine?



\_\_\_\_\_ YES

\_\_\_\_\_ NO

COUNT 4 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (h) (Money Laundering Conspiracy)

1. CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

2. LARRY CRENSHAW

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

COUNT 6 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (Substantive Money Laundering)

CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

COUNT 7 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (Substantive Money Laundering)

CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

COUNT 8 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (Substantive Money Laundering)

CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

COUNT 9 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (Substantive Money Laundering)

CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

COUNT 10 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (Substantive Money Laundering)

CLARENCE LARK

\_\_\_\_\_ GUILTY

☒ \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY

COUNT 11 - 18 U.S.C. 1956 (Substantive Money Laundering)